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Replicability is a fundamental property of scientific experiments.

But: Replicating performance benchmarks is difficult.

Major reason: Researchers use the hardware and software environment that happens to be available to them.
Can the use of cheap, standardized hardware like the Raspberry Pi improve the replicability of performance benchmarks?

Running example: MooBench
Repeatability  The ability of an experiment to be repeated by \textit{the same researcher} within a short period of time

Replicability  The ability of an experiment to be repeated by \textit{other researchers} with consistent results

Reproducibility  The ability of \textit{aggregated results} to be reproduced / recalculated by other researchers
The Raspberry Pi

- Credit card-sized single-board computer
- Originally conceived as an affordable tool to learn programming
- First models released in 2012
- Current model (Raspberry Pi 3, 2016) has a quad-core 64-bit ARM processor at 1.2 GHz and 1 GB RAM
- Uses MicroSDHC cards as primary storage
- Retail price around 40 €
- All models are still available for purchase
- Default OS is a Debian-based Linux distribution (Raspbian)
We...

1. ...bought three Raspberry Pi 3 devices
   - Two from the same retailer within two weeks as a set with an SD card and a power supply
   - One from another retailer a few months later

2. ...created a master SD card image
   - Based on Raspbian Jessie Lite
   - Included Oracle JDK (provides JIT compiler) and everything required to run the benchmarks

3. ...shared the master image among the authors

4. ...ran the preconfigured benchmarks on the devices
Setup for MooBench

- Current version from GitLab as of August, 2017
- Setup for Kieker
  - Version 1.11 (included in MooBench package)
  - Modified MooBench configuration due to storage limitations (1M invocations, recursion depth 5, 10 iterations)
- Setup for SPASS-Meter
  - Version 1.21 (re-compiled native library for ARM)
  - Default MooBench configuration (2M invocations, recursion depth 10, 10 iterations)
Resource monitoring, persist data as fast as possible

Results: Similar for all devices
  - Initial: extreme response time fluctuations
  - USB-HD: Mean response time -79%, $\sigma$ -96%
  - Class-10 SD: Mean response time -50%
Resource monitoring, online analysis

- Results: Similar for all devices
  - Around 160 $\mu s$ response time
  - Slight response time increase, two “humps”
- USB-HD: Mean response time -6%, $\sigma$ -87%
Experimental Results

- **Data:** Stable state raw time series
- **Baseline:** Very similar for all devices
- **SPASS-meter:**
  - $\Delta$ response time < 32 $\mu$s
  - 10% of server results [SSP ‘16]
- **Kieker:**
  - $\Delta$ response time < 55 $\mu$s, better with HD
- **But...** high deviations 😞

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>[1.6;1.6]</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>[1.6;1.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPASS / SD</td>
<td>[180.3; 180.4]</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>[148.8;148.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPASS / HD</td>
<td>[164.8; 164.8]</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>[156.4;156.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kieker / SD</td>
<td>[555.0;684.5]</td>
<td>73,893.1</td>
<td>[498.7;635.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kieker / HD</td>
<td>[120.8;126.4]</td>
<td>3,193.7</td>
<td>[109.6;114.2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Results

- High variance, but aggregated graphs look smooth?
- Raw data does not
- No such variances in [SSP’16] data

Recent results: It’s not the Pi!
Summary

- Replicating performance experiments is difficult
- Good replication support on Pi
  - Straightforward setup
  - Brief experiment specification
  - Faster storage reduces deviations, needs but additional specification
  - Similar results across devices, including “humps“
- High deviations, but it’s not the Pi! 😊
Future work

- Deviations: More experiments...
- Next Pi: More resources?
- Package experiments, e.g., *Docker*