# Automated Benchmarking of Cloud-hosted DBMS with benchANT

12th Symposium on Software Performance 2021

Daniel Seybold, Jörg Domaschka benchANT | Ulm University



# **Advances of Data Management Technologies** for Data-intensive Applications







# **Advances of Data Management Technologies** for Data-intensive Applications







cloud resources have become the preferred solution to operate DBMS<sup>1</sup>

the idea of "one-size-fits-all" is over <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Abadi, Daniel, et al. "The seattle report on database research." ACM SIGMOD Record (2020) <sup>2</sup>Stonebraker, Michael, and Ugur Çetintemel. "One size fits all" an idea whose time has come and gone." Making Databases Work: the Pragmatic Wisdom of Michael Stonebraker. 2018



# **Decision Making in the Cloud DBMS World**

# **PLETHORA OF CLOUD & DBMS RAPIDLY EVOLVING** TECHNOLOGIES **NO UP-TO-DATE DATA**







# Decision Making in the Cloud DBMS World

# PLETHORA OF CLOUD & DBMS RAPIDLY EVOLVING TECHNOLOGIES NO UP-TO-DATE DATA







https://engineering.mongodb.com/post/repeatable-performance-testsec2-instances-are-neither-good-nor-bad



/

-

# Benchmarking DBMS in the Pre-Cloud Era vs. Cloud Era







# **Benchmarking DBMS** in the Pre-Cloud Era vs. Cloud Era





new resource providers, resource types, DBMS technologies,



...



# Benchmarking Cloud-hosted DBMS with benchANT







# Benchmarking Cloud-hosted DBMS with benchANT





<sup>2</sup> Seybold, D. 2021. An automation-based approach for reproducible evaluations of distributed DBMS on elastic infrastructures. Universität Ulm



<sup>1</sup><u>https://research.spec.org/tools/overview/mowgli/</u>







# LIVE-DEMO





### **Continuus** Benchmarking with benchANT







### **Continuus** Benchmarking with benchANT







### **Version Monitoring Insights:** New DBMS Release = Better Performance ?



Throughput Ø [ops/s]







### Read Latency 95% [ms]

Write Latency 95% [ms]





### **Version Monitoring Insights:** New DBMS Release = Better Performance ?



Throughput Ø [ops/s]





3.11.11

4.0.0

3.0.25

50

2.2.19

Write Latency 95% [ms]

150



100

Read Latency 95% [ms]



200

### **Version Monitoring Insights:** New DBMS Release = Better Performance ?



Throughput Ø [ops/s]









Write Latency 95% [ms]



8000



70

45

## **Cloud Provider Selection Insights:** Similar VM Flavours = Similar Performance ?





DBMS spec: MongoDB 3 nodes

VM spec: 2 cores 8GB RAM cheapest storage option

Workload Spec: YCSB 80% writes 20 % reads



## **Cloud Provider Selection Insights:** Always choose SSD over HDD for Write Performance ?





Throughput Ø [ops/s]

4k	6k	8k	10k



# benchANT Feature Set **ALPHA Release**





Ν	т	

### benchmarkingAnyNewTechnology

### Trace-based DBMS workloads

# benchANTs Benchmarking-as-a-Service technology









# benchANT

# We are looking for pilot projects & research collaborations!

benchANT | info@benchant.com Universität Ulm | Institut für Organisation und Management von Informationssystemen





# APPENDIX



# benchANT is built upon

### Cloud Research

Hathi: An MCDM-based Approach to Capacity Planning for Cloud-hosted DBMS (UCC 2020)

Kaa: Evaluating elasticity of cloud-hosted DBMS (CloudCom 2019)

The impact of the storage tier: A baseline performance analysis of containerized DBMS (Euro-Par 2017)

### Performance Engineering Research

Baloo: Measuring and modeling the performance configurations of distributed DBMS (MACOTS 2020)

Mowgli: Finding your way in the DBMS jungle (ICPE 2019)

Towards Understanding the Performance of Distributed Database Management Systems in Volatile Environments (SSP 2019)



# 1 PhD

# > 15 years of researd

# > 10 scientic pape

### scientific awards and results



### **DBMS** Research

King Louie: Reproducible availability benchmarking of cloud-hosted DBMS (SAC 2020)

Is distributed database evaluation cloud-ready? (ADBIS 2017)

Is elasticity of scalable databases a myth? (Big Data 2016)

NT	
ch	
ers	
Evaluated sable	

### The team behind benchANT **Researchers & Innovators**



PhD on Cloud Database Benchmarking Cloud-Database Performance (6 years)

Dipl. phys. oec. (Physics & Economy) eCommerce experience project manager & Business Development (7 years)

Funding

Supporters



<sub>o</sub>bw**con** baden württemberg connected



### Dr. Jörg Domaschka Consulting, Sales & HR

PhD Computer Science Cloud-Research (16 years) IT Consultant (2 years)















# Demo Backup





















![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

KONFIGURATION LÖSCHEN

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

			L			
DATENB	ANK	CLOUD	WORKL	OAD	ZUSAMMENFASSUNG	
Aus	swahl 😰					
	DATABASE		CLOUD	BEN	ICHMARK	
( 💌 )	<b>Cassandra</b> Version: 3.9 Cluster-Größe: 3 Replikationen: 2	IONOS Standort: Flavor: 1c	us/las _OPTERON_4r	YCSB Workload: IoT		
	Cassandra Version: 3.9 Cluster-Größe: 3 Replikationen: 2	AWS Standort: Flavor: me	eu-west-2 6g.medium	YCSB Workload: IoT		

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Demo Dashboard

### Ranking Overview

Select setups for performance details. Detailed charts are visualised interactively below the table. Hover over data points to get more information. Setups are sorted by the benchANT-Score.

	benchANT SCORE	CONFIG ID	DBMS		CLOUD		BENCHMARK	
		filter data 🔎	filter data	Q	filter data	Q	filter data	Q
✓	19	telekom-mongodb- 64-threads-s3.large.4 ssd	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: TELEKOM region: eu-de flavour: s3.large.4 storage: SSD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	TIAN
<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	16	telekom-mongodb- 64-threads-c4.large.4 ssd	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: TELEKOM region: eu-de flavour: c4.large.4 storage: SSD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	FIAN
<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	14	ec2-m5.large- mongodb-64-threads	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: EC2 region: eu-west-1 flavour: m5.large storage: GP2		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	TIAN
	13	ionos_intel-xeon- mongodb-hdd	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: IONOS region: us/ewr flavour: 2c_XEON_8r storage: HDD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	TIAN
	13	ionos_xeon- mongodb-SSD-200GB	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: IONOS region: us/ewr flavour: 2c_XEON_8r storage: SSD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	FIAN
•	13	ionos_xeon- mongodb-SSD-400GB	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: IONOS region: us/ewr flavour: 2c_XEON_8r storage: SSD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	-IAN
•	13	ec2-m5n.large- mongodb-64-threads	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: EC2 region: eu-west-1 flavour: m5n.large storage: GP2		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	TIAN
	12	ionos-intel-skylake- mongodb-64-threads	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: IONOS region: de/txl flavour: 2c_SKYLAKE_8r storage: SSD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	FIAN
	12	ionos_intel-xeon- mongodb-64-threads	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0 replication factor: 3.0		provider: IONOS region: us/ewr flavour: 2c_XEON_8r storage: SSD		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2 request distribution: ZIPF	FIAN
•	11	telekom-mongodb- 64-threads	type: MONGODB version: 4.4.2 nodes: 3.0		provider: TELEKOM region: eu-de flavour: s3.large.4		type: YCSB write proportion: 0.8 read proportion: 0.2	-1.4.6.1

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Demo Dashboard

benchAnt Score 🛛 🗙 👻

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

▼

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

### ionos\_intel-xeon-mongodb-hdd onos\_xeon-mongodb-SSD-200GEonos\_xeon-mongodb-SSD-400GE

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

### m-mongodb-64-threads-s3.large/m-mongodb-64-threads-c4.large/c2-m5.large-mongodb-64-thread

![](_page_29_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

### benchANT SCORE

telekom-mongodb-64-threads-s3.large	19
telekom-mongodb-64-threads-c4.large	16
ec2-m5.large-mongodb-64-tl	14
ionos_xeon-mongodb-SSD-	13
ionos_xeon-mongodb-SSD-	13
ionos_intel-xeon-mongo	13

### benchANT SCORE

telekom-mongodb-64-threads-s3.large	19
telekom-mongodb-64-threads-c4.large	16
ec2-m5.large-mongodb-64-th	14
ionos_xeon-mongodb-SSD-4	13
ionos_xeon-mongodb-SSD-2	13
ionos_intel-xeon-mongod	13

### benchANT SCORE

telekom-mongodb-64-threads-s3.large.4	19
telekom-mongodb-64-threads-c4.large.4	16
ec2-m5.large-mongodb-64-thr	14
ionos_xeon-mongodb-SSD-40	13
ionos_xeon-mongodb-SSD-20	13
ionos_intel-xeon-mongod	13

### Demo Dashboard

### Throughput Ø [ops/s]

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

Ishow Cloud Costs [€/month]

### Cloud Costs [€/month]

![](_page_30_Figure_11.jpeg)

Show Throughput-Cost-Ratio [ops/€]

### Throughput-Cost-Ratio [ops/€]

![](_page_30_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_16.jpeg)

### Demo Dashboard

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

### throughput

show insert\_latency\_avg

### insert\_latency\_avg

show insert\_latency\_90

### insert\_latency\_90

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Demo Dashboard

### Cloud Provider Metadata

### "auth":

"auth\_url": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER", "password": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER", "project\_domain\_name": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER", "project\_id": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER", "project\_name": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER", "user\_domain\_name": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER", "username": "VALUE\_SPECIFIED\_IN\_NO\_LOG\_PARAMETER"

"auth\_type": null, "auto\_ip": true, "availability\_zone": "\*\*\*\*\*\*\*-01", "boot\_from\_volume": false, "boot\_volume": "ab8b105f-69c8-4d80-b87e-d45a71c9706e", "ca\_cert": null, "client\_cert": null, "client\_key": null, "config\_drive": false, "delete\_fip": false, "description": null, "flavor": "s3.large.4", "flavor\_include": null, "flavor\_ram": null, "floating\_ip\_pools": null,

### ▼ VM Metadata

"_ansible_facts_gathered": true, "all_ipv4_addresses": [ "102.168.0.122"
192.100.0.122
all inv6 addresses": [
"feg0::fg16:2eff:fedo:beaa"
1
"ansible local": ()
"annarmor": {
"status": "enabled"
}.
"architecture": "x86 64".
"bios date": "04/01/2014".
"bios vendor": "SeaBIOS".
"bios version": "rel-1.10.2-0-a5f4c7b1-20181220 000000-szxrtosci"
"board asset tag": "NA".
"board name": "NA".
"board serial": "NA".
"board vendor": "NA".
"board version": "NA",
"chassis asset tag": "NA",
"chassis_serial": "NA",
"chassis_vendor": "QEMU",
"chaesis version": "no-i//0fv-2 8"

### MONGODB Metadata

### MongoDB shell version v4.4.2 connecting to: mongodb://192.168.0.122:27017/?compressors=disabled&gssapiServiceName=mongodb Implicit session: session { "id" : UUID("4e554f69-c1da-4e7b-b665-f3e31df56f63") } MongoDB server version: 4.4.2

"set" : "rs0", "date" : ISODate("2021-05-05T06:42:35.690Z"), "myState" : 1, "term" : NumberLong(1), "syncSourceHost" : "", "syncSourceId" : -1,

![](_page_32_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_25.jpeg)